

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/501,033		MESS, LEONARD E.		
		Examine	er	Art Unit	!	
		VINHPI		2858		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHOTHE No. 1 Exter after 1 If the 1 Fallu 2 Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION issons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR to SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. i.136(a) In no e eply within the sta d will apply and v ute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be to atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered n the mailing date of t ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133)	his communication	
1)[2]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	7 July 2001				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ ∃	This action i	s non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/arc withdr	awn from c	onsideration.			
5)	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[>]	6)∑ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
				tion No		
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	stic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisi	onal application).	
) \square The translation of the foreign language ${f p}$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome					
Attachmen						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		· =	ry (PTO-413) Pape Patent Application		
S Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		Action Summ	ary	Pa	art of Paper No. 14	

Art Unit: 2858

1 Claims 1-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1,9,19,35,42,47 and 57, it is unclear what is meant by "unembedded into said substrate". Does it mean that there is no recess in the substrate? If it is, the limitations of claims 8, 18,23,34,41,45,50,55,60 are improperly claimed. In claims 6,16 and 32,it is unclear what "an adhesive" represent. Is it shown in any of drawings?

The dependent claims not specifically address share the same indefiniteness as they depend from rejected base claims.

2. Claims 6,16 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention

It appears that the limitations of claims 6,16 and 32 do not have support in the specification.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2858

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

4 Claims 1-5,7,9,12-15,17, 19-21,28-31,33, 35,38-40,42-44,46-49,51-54,56-59,61 (insofar as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hembree et al (Pat # 5,424,652).

As to claims 1-5,7,9,12-13,15,17,19,22,28-30,33,35,38-40,42-44,46-49,51-54,56-59 and 61. Hembree et al disclose an apparatus for testing a semiconductive device (24) having an interposer (14) with a ceramic substrate (14A) with an outmost surface and being configured for receiving thereon the semiconductive device such that the device lies at least in part of the outermost surface and is unimbedded into the substrate (14), electrical conductors (14B) on the substrate (14) with receiving ends connected to the electrical leads (20) of the semiconductive device (24) and with terminal ends (opposite ends of the receiving ends) connected to a testing apparatus. As to claims 14, 31, Hembree et al also disclose a resilient metal clip (34) for holding the interposer (14) stationary relative to the semiconductive device (24) and for biasing the receiving end of the conductor to the electrical leads (20) of the device (24). As to claims 20-21, it appears that the substrate comprises a substantially planar sheet and a substantially homogenous material.

5 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2858

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6,10-11,16,21,24-27,32,36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hembree et al (Pat # 5,424,652) in view of Pryor et al (Pat # 4,712,161) and Gochnour et al (Pat # 5,678,301).

Hembree et al disclose an apparatus for testing a semiconductive device (24) as mentioned in previous paragraph. As to claim 10, the material for the connector composed of copper and alloys would have been an obvious design choice since the criticality of this material has not been established by Applicants. As to claims 6,11,16 and 32, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a coating of an electrically insulating material/adhesive on the connector so that it is isolated from the conductors on the substrate and short circuit is prevented. As to claims 24-25, 36-37, Pryor et al teach that the material for the ceramic substrate such as Alumina, glass or boron nitrides are well known in the art. It would have been well known in the art to make the ceramic substrate of Hembree et al using the material of Pryor et al. As to claims 26-27, Gochnour et al teach that it would have been well known for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an insulated layer (20) on top of a conductor (22). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an insulated layer on the conductors of Hembree et al as taught by Gochnour et al so that it can be used for preventing the conductors from making contact with other conductors or for heat dissipation.

Art Unit: 2858

Page 5

7. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature

of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the feature of adhesive as recited in claims

6,16 and 32, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should

be entered.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4914.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

VINH P. NGUYEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2858

09/20/2001